

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/553,082	Applicant(s) PALENIUS ET AL.	
	Examiner FRANK DONADO	Art Unit 2617	

All Participants:

(1) FRANK DONADO.

(2) William Tucker.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2010

Status of Application: Amendment after Final Rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1:00 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 5-12, 15, 16, 18-25 and 28-37

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and Mr. Tucker agreed that including the limitation that the method of claim 1 is performed entirely by the mobile communication apparatus would make all claims allowable. In addition, Mr. Tucker agreed to amend independent claim 16 and its dependent claims in a similar manner as claim 1, more specifically, to replace the arrangement with the mobile communication apparatus.